

Attachment B

GMHB Final Decision Order Summary

	Description of Issue	Reasoning	What we need to do
Issue 5/18	Prohibition against mining in designated forest lands.	1.The Board finds the changes to a preclusion of dual designation in the Res. and Ord. were significant changes from the drafts presented prior to the hearing.	1.Hold a hearing on the Co-designation issue.
		2.The record is inadequate to determine whether or not the County's decision to depart from the minimum guidelines in precluding dual designation considered permissible criteria.	2.Include in the discussion reasons for departing from minimum guidelines. (Min. guidelines matrix)
Issue 9	Prohibition against mining in designated forest lands; particularly the prohibition against expansion of state's primary jetty rock quarries.	The record fails to demonstrate that the minimum guidelines contained in the WAC were considered.	Include in the discussion reasons for departing from minimum guidelines. (Min. guidelines matrix)
Issue 11/19	Making designation contingent upon issuance of a reclamation permit from DNR.	1.Due to the requirement for a property owner to obtain a reclamation permit from DNR prior to designation. 2.County conceded.	Hearing tonight with the Planning Commission.
Issue 21	Excluding lands with or near critical areas from potential designation.	The record fails to demonstrate that the minimum guidelines contained in the WAC were considered.	Include in the discussion reasons for departing from minimum guidelines. (Min. guidelines matrix)
Issue 22	Exclusionary criteria based on the presence of critical areas without regard to BAS.	County did not dispute the allegation of a failure to apply BAS, asserting it was not amending its CAO.	Remove this section or pin-point the BAS used.