

**Henderson Inlet**  
**Shellfish Protection District Stakeholders**  
May 19, 2003 Public Workshop

**11 - Straw Poll Survey Results**

Do you want to be put on an email list for updates?            **8** - Yes            **1** - No

Do you live in the Henderson Inlet Shellfish Protection District?    **9** – Yes            No

Recommendations by Category:

**Septic Systems:**

1.     Declare the District as an “Area of Special Concern”.  
      **5** - Strongly Agree     **5** - Agree                    Strongly Disagree     Disagree
2.     Require Operational Certificates in the Operational and Maintenance Program.  
      **4** - Strongly Agree     **6** - Agree                    Strongly Disagree     Disagree
3.     Perform follow-up inspections of new systems 6 to 12 months after installation.  
      **5** - Strongly Agree     **5** - Agree                    Strongly Disagree     Disagree
4.     Low interest loans regardless of income for repair of failing systems.  
      **5** - Strongly Agree     **3** - Agree                    Strongly Disagree     **1** - Disagree
5.     Continue and possibly expand the education and outreach program.  
      **7** - Strongly Agree     **4** - Agree                    Strongly Disagree     Disagree

Written comments:

1.     What will be the District?
2.     What is an Operational and Maintenance Program?
3.     #3 above - Check all systems. Why new – isn't it the “old” systems that are the most probable of failing?
4.     This is a very important part of the water quality and our quality of life.
5.     What if septic system fails shortly after it is installed?
6.     The WA. On-site Sewage Association (WOSSA) in Puyallup WA. Has been researching an insurance for on-site septic systems. Call me if you need the number (Papp – 586.9507).
7.     The County has to be specific and it if the County passes it – there has to be some kind of guarantee. (#2).
8.     Meet income level for lower incomes. (#4).
9.     I'm a teacher and would like to know what I and my students can do in the education arena within the watershed! (Skydancer).
10.    Unsure (#4)

### **Stormwater Management:**

1. Develop Low Impact Development Standards and update stormwater standards.  
8 - Strongly Agree      2 - Agree      Strongly Disagree      1 - Disagree
2. Change development densities and septic standards based on soil type.  
7 - Strongly Agree      3 - Agree      Strongly Disagree      Disagree
3. Educate and provide supplies for pet waste management at private stormwater ponds.  
8 - Strongly Agree      2 - Agree      Strongly Disagree      1 - Disagree

### **Written comments:**

1. Develop private Storm Water Pond into Dog Parks so they can be better self managed by other Dog owners and can assist in education and implementation.
2. Have license requirements for pets and use the revenue to go to education and pet waste handling supplies.
3. LID needs to be based on soil conditions.
4. Density is as important and could served by down-zone too.
5. Down-zoning encourages sprawl.
6. Septic standards will address the problem.

### **Agricultural Practices:**

1. Create no new regulations and enforce the ones in existence.  
3 - Strongly Agree      6 - Agree      1 - Strongly Disagree      Disagree
2. Require implementation of Best Management Practices on agricultural lands.  
5 - Strongly Agree      4 - Agree      Strongly Disagree      1 - Disagree
3. Conduct workshops on composting manure and pasture management.  
5 - Strongly Agree      6 - Agree      Strongly Disagree      Disagree

### **Written comments:**

1. Don't know current regulations.
2. Why aren't the regulations enforced?
3. Who would check to see if the BMPs were implemented?
4. What if they don't implement – what happens?
5. Consider stronger site management for Hobby Farms.

### **Land Use**

1. Downzone (decrease density) along marine and stream shoreline areas  
3 - Strongly Agree      5 - Agree      Strongly Disagree      3 - Disagree
2. Declare Henderson Watershed a Special Management Area with stricter development standards, septic standards, setbacks from shoreline, and retain more trees.  
5 - Strongly Agree      4 - Agree      Strongly Disagree      2 - Disagree

3. Use public funds to purchase shoreline properties, development rights, and conservation easements.  
**6** - Strongly Agree      **3** - Agree      Strongly Disagree      **2** - Disagree
4. Increase enforcement of current land use regulations.  
**3** - Strongly Agree      **8** - Agree      Strongly Disagree      Disagree
5. Promote proactive assessment of streams and marine shorelines for buffer zones and other land use violations.  
**5** - Strongly Agree      **4** - Agree      Strongly Disagree      **1** - Disagree

Written comments:

1. Why aren't the regulations enforced? (#4)
2. What defines a stream? (#1)
3. Are there funds available? (#3)
4. County should be held partly responsible for an approved septic system that fails unless owner violates BMPs of system use.
5. What happened...tax revenue too great? (#1)
6. County should work with Land Trust but not fund it. (#3).

**Wildlife:**

1. Track and monitor wildlife's possible contribution to water quality problems.  
**3** - Strongly Agree      **2** - Agree      **2** - Strongly Disagree      **4** - Disagree (2)

Written comments:

1. Humans are the problem – we have to set priorities.
2. What is wildlife contributes – so what?
3. Prefer putting resources elsewhere.
4. Not sure how to control birds.

**Implementation oversight:**

1. Create a citizen committee work with the city and county governments and property owners in the implementation of those recommendations adopted by the decision makers.  
**4** - Strongly Agree      **6** - Agree      **1** - Strongly Disagree      Disagree
2. Would you be willing to serve on such an oversight committee?  
**7** - Yes      **1** - No

Written comments:

1. Depends on time commitment required. (Terry)
2. Government should do it.
3. Possibly (Skydancer).
4. Maybe – need to know commitment (Johnson)
5. I have a heavy load for the next five years. After that – yes – would agree to task oriented short-term work on project (P. Terry).

**Boundaries:**

Should the Shellfish District Boundaries be revised by taking into consideration only those lands where surface water may impact the water quality of Henderson Inlet?

5 - Yes      3- No

Written comments:

1. This boundary question needs to be answered first, and then all other criteria can be decided.
2. If you have hard data to validate the boundaries.
3. Change the name and eliminate “shellfish” – this is a water quality issue no a clam issue.
4. Strong objection to changing boundaries – leave it large.
5. You’d first have to convince me that the outlying areas in-fact don’t contribute.