



COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Cathy Wolfe
District One
Diane Oberquell
District Two
Robert N. Macleod
District Three

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Michael Welter
Director

Nisqually Reach and Henderson Inlet Shellfish Protection Districts
Combined Oversight Committee
Meeting Notes March 18, 2008

Members: Tris Carlson, Bryan Wilson, Linda Malatesta, Christine Buckley, Peter Heide and Tim Wilson

Alternates: Fred Michelson

Guests: Mark Fischer (Puget Sound Restoration Fund)
Lawrence Sullivan (WDOH)
David Hall (Conservation District)
David Sugarman - citizen

County Staff: Mark J. Swartout – District staff

1. Administration:
 - A. Introductions
 - B. Approved the January 29th Meeting Notes
 - C. Approved the Agenda
2. Discussed the proposed RV Storage Project on parcel #11909320101:
 - A. Mr. David Sugarman's comments:
 - 1) Criticized county's policy of sending Notices of Application to properties only within 500 feet.
 - 2) Objected that this project can be considered for a special use permit in an area zoned RR 1/5.
 - 3) Didn't understand why the decision to issue or not a permit is determined at the end of the process rather than the beginning thereby saving the county and applicant money.
 - 4) The applicant is not the owner of record thereby the permit application should have been rejected as being incomplete.
 - 5) The wetland on the land is too small for the Shoreline Master Program but may be protected by Ecology and the USACOE.

- 6) As of this day there is no report from the County Roads Department.
- 7) Stormwater will be contained on site.
- 8) Owner will provide drip mats under the RV's to catch oil etc but who will monitor that it is cleanup properly.
- 9) This project will create a significant traffic hazard. South bound traffic's speed limit is 50 mph while the visibility is limited to 500 feet, which is insufficient to avoid accidents as RV's and boat trailers are pulling into and out of the project site.

B. Committee's comments:

- 1) Concerned about the special use permit being able to be issued to a commercial enterprise in a rural residential neighborhood.
- 2) Concerned that the property was cleared without the permit.
- 3) If the applicant is not the owner of record how could the permit application have been considered complete.
- 4) Concern about the traffic safety for south bound vehicles.
- 5) Concern about the lack of clarity about how the drip pans will be cleaned and kept from polluting the runoff.

3. Discussed the draft annual report that was distributed.

A. Part I - Implementation Monitoring report was complete.

B. Part II - Data Monitoring will include the trend analysis that will be provided by Department of Health when it's completed.

C. Part III – Assessment – is the most difficult section to write. Opportunities for the District Stakeholders to provide input that may result from the assessment will be the updates of the Stormwater Manual (late 2008), Critical Areas Ordinance (2009) and the Shoreline Master Program (2011).

5. Next meeting topic(s) was not discussed..

MS:ms/shellfish_08/meeting notes 3/18/08