



THURSTON COUNTY
WASHINGTON
SINCE 1852

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OFFICE OF PROGRAM AND BUDGET DEVELOPMENT

COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
Cathy Wolfe
District One
Diane Oberquell
District Two
Kevin J. O'Sullivan
District Three

Nisqually Reach Shellfish Protection District
Stakeholder Group
Meeting Notes of December 4, 2002

Present

Committee: Greg Tolbert (Chair), Matt Smith, Christine Buckley, Jeff Schilter, Pamela Buldis, Tris Carlson, David Troutt, Lisa Dennis-Perez, Christine Buckley, and Bryan Wilson.
Alternates: Fred Michelson (Christine Buckley)
Staff Present: Mark Swartout
Guest Present: Stu Glasoe (PSWQAT)

I. Administration:

- a. Introductions were made.
- b. Added two items to the Agenda before approval.
- c. Meeting notes (corrected) of November 6th were approved.
- d. Table of Contents:
 - i. Governance:
 1. Background
 2. Options
 3. Recommendations.
 - ii. Move the monitoring element to each of the categories.
 - iii. Boundaries
 1. Add a discussion of the boundaries in the background section.
 2. Move Boundaries to Governance.
 - iv. C. Potential Solutions or Mitigation Measures.
 - v. E. Options and Analysis.
- e. Process for the development of "white papers".
 - i. Each sub-group will provide the stakeholder group with their papers for substantive comments rather than extensive word-smithing.
 - ii. There is an interest to be as efficient with the stakeholder group's time as possible.
 - iii. Create an editorial committee to be more efficient in producing each section.
- f. There was interest in coordinating the "white papers" with the Henderson SPD. How this will be done needs to be decided?
- g. The names of each sub-committee with contact information will be shared between each stakeholder group.
- h. Discussed any outside sources of information.

- i. The Henderson Stakeholder group asked to gather information on Puget Sound Counties that may have more stringent on-site septic programs. This information will be provided when complete.
- ii. Kitsap County was identified as having an outstanding Pollution Investigation and Control Program. Information will be forthcoming.

II. Updates:

- a. TMDL – Distributed Debbie Sargent’s email stating they have begun water quality testing but there is nothing of importance to report yet.
- b. Provided an update on the Henderson SPD table of contents and will be hosting a TMDL workshop sometime in the Spring of 2003.
- c. Natural Lands update – provided the staff report to the Planning Commission summarizing the testimony provided at the Nov. 20th Planning Commission public hearing. Most of the testimony was in regard to the Mineral Lands section.

III. Subgroup Updates:

- a. Review Report Status Table.
- b. Septic – Donita, Linda (lead), Pam, and Tris – provided a rough first draft of their white paper. It identified 3 categories of issues:
 - i. New installation.
 - ii. Failed systems.
 - iii. Governance / Regulatory.
 - 1. Identified that there is no affidavit for a final inspection.
 - 2. O and M Program.
 - a. Old and New systems
 - b. Need better data collection.
 - 3. Low income / low interest loans for septic repair.
 - a. Discussed removing the low-income requirement.
 - iv. January meeting is the target for the 1st real draft.
- c. Stormwater – Greg, Tris, Lisa/Eric/ Bryan, Fred, and David (lead).
 - i. Sub-committee members are reviewing a draft paper.
 - ii. The paper needs to get more specific.
 - iii. February meeting is target for 1st real draft.
 - iv. Agriculture — Jeff (lead), Matt, and David - still needs to meet.
- d. Land-use – Bryan (lead), Fred, and David –
 - i. February meeting is the target for the 1st real draft.
- e. Background – Mark will target January as first draft.
- f. Sub-groups met until adjourned.

IV. Agenda for the January 8th meeting – Donita is the chair.

- a. Administration.
 - i. Introductions (?), Agenda, and approve meeting notes.
- b. Updates including:
 - i. TMDL project.
 - ii. Natural Lands Comprehensive Plan (county staff).
 - 1. What is the planning process for adoption of the Chapter 3 Amendments?
 - 2. What is the response to the SEPA public comments?
 - 3. Provide more in-depth discussion on the Aquaculture staff recommendations and what are the impacts.

- iii. Henderson Shellfish Protection District.
 - iv. Any other issues.
 - c. Develop Editorial Committee.
 - d. Continue discussion on process for white paper development and approval.
 - e. Subcommittee meeting outcomes.
 - i. Report update – On-Site paper
 - ii. Identify any needed resources to help accomplish the tasks.
 - iii. Review any drafts.
 - f. Agenda for February 5th meeting.

V. Public Comment – none during the allotted time.

VI. Adjourn at 9:00 PM

MS:shellfish/Nisqually/Meeting Notes 12.04.02

Filename: Meeting Notes Nisqually 12.04.02
Directory: C:\WINDOWS\TEMP
Template: C:\WINDOWS\Application
Data\Microsoft\Templates\Letter Head.dot
Title:
Subject:
Author: Thurston County
Keywords:
Comments:
Creation Date: 01/10/2003 2:23 PM
Change Number: 3
Last Saved On: 01/10/2003 2:23 PM
Last Saved By: OPBD
Total Editing Time: 2 Minutes
Last Printed On: 01/13/2003 1:27 PM
As of Last Complete Printing
Number of Pages: 3
Number of Words: 628 (approx.)
Number of Characters: 3,580 (approx.)